

Genetics - Markov chains

Julien Arino University of Manitoba <julien.arino@umanitoba.ca>

Fall 2024

The University of Manitoba campuses are located on original lands of Anishinaabeg, Ininew, Anisininew, Dakota and Dene peoples, and on the National Homeland of the Red River Métis.

We respect the Treaties that were made on these territories, we acknowledge the harms and mistakes of the past, and we dedicate ourselves to move forward in partnership with Indigenous communities in a spirit of Reconciliation and collaboration.

Outline

[Markov chains](#page-0-0)

[A simple genetic model](#page-7-0)

[Repetition of the process](#page-13-0)

[Regular Markov chains](#page-24-0)

[Absorbing Markov chains](#page-33-0)

[Random walks](#page-43-0)

[Markov chains](#page-0-0)

[A simple genetic model](#page-7-0)

[Repetition of the process](#page-13-0)

[Regular Markov chains](#page-24-0)

[Absorbing Markov chains](#page-33-0)

[Random walks](#page-43-0)

We conduct an experiment with a set of r outcomes,

$$
S = \{S_1, \ldots, S_r\}.
$$

The experiment is repeated n times (with n large, potentially infinite).

The system has no memory: the next state depends only on the present state.

The probability of S_i occurring on the next step, given that S_i occurred on the last step, is

$$
p_{ij}=p(S_j|S_i).
$$

Suppose that S_i is the current state, then one of S_1,\ldots, S_r must be the next state; therefore,

$$
p_{i1} + p_{i2} + \cdots + p_{ir} = 1, \quad 1 \le i \le r.
$$

(Note that some of the p_{ij} can be zero, all that is needed is that $\sum_{j=1}^r p_{ij} = 1$ for all i .)

Markov chain

Definition 1

An experiment with finite number of possible outcomes $\mathcal{S}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{S}_r$ is repeated. The sequence of outcomes is a *Markov chain* if there is a set of r^2 numbers $\{p_{ij}\}$ such that the conditional probability of outcome S_i on any experiment given outcome S_i on the previous experiment is p_{ii} , i.e., for $1 \le i, j \le r$, $n = 1, \ldots$,

 p_{ii} = Pr(S_i on experiment $n+1|S_i$ on experiment n).

The outcomes S_1, \ldots, S_r are the states, and the p_{ij} are the transition probabilities. The matrix $P = [p_{ij}]$ is the transition matrix.

Transition matrix

The matrix

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & \cdots & p_{1r} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & \cdots & p_{2r} \\ p_{r1} & p_{r2} & \cdots & p_{rr} \end{pmatrix}
$$

has

- ▶ nonnegative entries, $p_{ii} \geq 0$
- ▶ entries less than 1, $p_{ii} \leq 1$
- \blacktriangleright row sum 1, which we write

$$
\sum_{j=1}^r p_{ij}=1, \quad i=1,\ldots,r
$$

or, using the notation $\mathbb{1}^{\mathcal{T}} = (1, \ldots, 1)$,

$$
P1\!\!1=1
$$

[Markov chains](#page-0-0)

[A simple genetic model](#page-7-0)

[Repetition of the process](#page-13-0)

[Regular Markov chains](#page-24-0)

[Absorbing Markov chains](#page-33-0)

[Random walks](#page-43-0)

Simple Mendelian inheritance

A certain trait is determined by a specific pair of genes, each of which may be two types, say G and g .

One individual may have:

- ▶ GG combination (dominant)
- \triangleright Gg or gG, considered equivalent genetically (hybrid)
- \blacktriangleright gg combination (recessive)

In sexual reproduction, offspring inherit one gene of the pair from each parent.

Genes inherited from each parent are selected at random, independently of each other. This determines probability of occurrence of each type of offspring. The offspring

- \triangleright of two GG parents must be GG,
- \triangleright of two gg parents must be gg,
- \triangleright of one GG and one gg parent must be Gg,
- \triangleright other cases must be examined in more detail.

GG and Gg parents

Offspring has probability

$$
\begin{array}{r}\n\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{2} \text{ of being } GG \\
\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{2} \text{ of being } Gg\n\end{array}
$$

Gg and Gg parents

Offspring has probability

$$
\begin{array}{r}\n\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{4} \text{ of being } GG \\
\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{2} \text{ of being } Gg \\
\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{4} \text{ of being } gg\n\end{array}
$$

gg and Gg parents

Offspring has probability

$$
\begin{array}{r}\n\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{2} \text{ of being } Gg \\
\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{2} \text{ of being } gg\n\end{array}
$$

[Markov chains](#page-0-0)

[A simple genetic model](#page-7-0)

[Repetition of the process](#page-13-0)

[Regular Markov chains](#page-24-0)

[Absorbing Markov chains](#page-33-0)

[Random walks](#page-43-0)

Let $p_i(n)$ be the probability that the state S_i will occur on the n^{th} repetition of the experiment, $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Since one the states S_i must occur on the n^{th} repetition,

 $p_1(n) + p_2(n) + \cdots + p_r(n) = 1.$

Let $p_i(n+1)$ be the probability that state $S_i,~1\leq i\leq r,$ occurs on $(n+1)^{th}$ repetition of the experiment.

There are r ways to be in state S_i at step $n+1$:

- 1. Step n is S_1 . Probability of getting S_1 on n^{th} step is $p_1(n)$, and probability of having S_i after S_1 is p_{1i} . Therefore, by multiplication principle, $P(S_i|S_1) = p_{1i}p_1(n).$
- 2. We get S_2 on step n and S_i on step $(n + 1)$. Then $P(S_i|S_2) = p_{2i}p_2(n)$.

r. Probability of occurrence of S_i at step $n+1$ if S_r at step n is $P(S_i|S_r)=p_{ri}p_r(n)$. Therefore, $p_i(n + 1)$ is sum of all these,

$$
p_i(n+1) = P(S_i|S_1) + \cdots + P(S_i|S_r)
$$

= $p_{1i}p_1(n) + \cdots + p_{ri}p_r(n)$

..

Therefore,

$$
p_1(n + 1) = p_{11}p_1(n) + p_{21}p_2(n) + \cdots + p_{r1}p_r(n)
$$

\n
$$
p_k(n + 1) = p_{1k}p_1(n) + p_{2k}p_2(n) + \cdots + p_{rk}p_r(n)
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
p_r(n + 1) = p_{1r}p_1(n) + p_{2r}p_2(n) + \cdots + p_{rr}p_r(n)
$$
\n(1)

In matrix form

$$
p(n+1) = p(n)P, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, ... \tag{2}
$$

where $p(n) = (p_1(n), p_2(n), \ldots, p_r(n))$ is a (row) probability vector and $P = (p_{ii})$ is a $r \times r$ transition matrix,

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & \cdots & p_{1r} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & \cdots & p_{2r} \\ p_{r1} & p_{r2} & \cdots & p_{rr} \end{pmatrix}
$$

So, what we have is

$$
(p_1(n+1),...,p_r(n+1)) =
$$

$$
(p_1(n),...,p_r(n)) \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & \cdots & p_{1r} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & \cdots & p_{2r} \\ p_{r1} & p_{r2} & \cdots & p_{rr} \end{pmatrix}
$$

It is easy to check that this gives the same expression as [\(1\)](#page-16-0).

Consider a process of continued matings.

- ▶ Start with an individual of known or unknown genetic character and mate it with a hybrid.
- ▶ Assume that there is at least one offspring; choose one of them at random and mate it with a hybrid.
- \blacktriangleright Repeat this process through a number of generations.

The genetic type of the chosen offspring in successive generations can be represented by a Markov chain, with states GG, Gg and gg. So there are 3 possibles states $S_1 = GG$, $S_2 = Gg$ and $S_3 = gg$.

We have

The transition probabilities are thus

$$
P = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4}\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array}\right)
$$

Stochastic matrices

Definition 2 (Stochastic matrix)

The nonnegative $r \times r$ matrix M is *stochastic* if $\sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij} = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r.$

Theorem 3

Let M be a stochastic matrix M. Then all eigenvalues λ of M are such that $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Furthermore, $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue of M.

To see that 1 is an eigenvalue, write the definition of a stochastic matrix, i.e., M has row sums 1. In vector form, $M1\!\!1 = 1$. Now remember that λ is an eigenvalue of M. with associated eigenvector v, iff $Mv = \lambda v$. So, in the expression $M1 = 1$, we read an eigenvector, 1, and an eigenvalue, 1.

Long "time" behavior

Let $p(0)$ be the initial distribution (row) vector. Then

$$
p(1) = p(0)P \np(2) = p(1)P \n= (p(0)P)P \n= p(0)P2
$$

Iterating, we get that for any n ,

$$
p(n)=p(0)P^n
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim_{n\to+\infty}p(n)=\lim_{n\to+\infty}p(0)P^n=p(0)\lim_{n\to+\infty}P^n
$$

p. 21 [Repetition of the process](#page-13-0)

Additional properties of stochastic matrices

Theorem 4

If M, N are stochastic matrices, then MN is a stochastic matrix.

Theorem 5

If M is a stochastic matrix, then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, M^k is a stochastic matrix.

[Markov chains](#page-0-0)

[A simple genetic model](#page-7-0)

[Repetition of the process](#page-13-0)

[Regular Markov chains](#page-24-0)

[Absorbing Markov chains](#page-33-0)

[Random walks](#page-43-0)

Definition 6 (Regular Markov chain)

A regular Markov chain is one in which P^k is positive for some integer $k>0$, i.e., P^k has only positive entries, no zero entries.

Definition 7

A nonnegative matrix M is primitive if, and only if, there is an integer $k > 0$ such that M^k is positive.

Theorem 8

A Markov chain is regular if, and only if, the transition matrix P is primitive.

Important result for regular Markov chains

Theorem 9

If P is the transition matrix of a regular Markov chain, then

- 1. the powers P^n approach a stochastic matrix W ,
- 2. each row of W is the same (row) vector $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_r)$,

3. the components of w are positive.

So if the Markov chain is regular,

$$
\lim_{n\to+\infty}p(n)=p(0)\lim_{n\to+\infty}P^n=p(0)W
$$

Left and right eigenvectors

Let M be an $r \times r$ matrix, u, v be two column vectors, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, if

 $Mu = \lambda u$.

u is the (right) eigenvector corresponding to λ , and if

$$
v^T M = \lambda v^T
$$

then v is the left eigenvector corresponding to λ . Note that to a given eigenvalue there corresponds one left and one right eigenvector.

The vector w is in fact the left eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 of P . (We already know that the (right) eigenvector corresponding to 1 is 1l.)

To see this, remark that, if $p(n)$ converges, then $p(n + 1) = p(n)P$, so w is a fixed point of the system. We thus write

$$
wP=w
$$

and solve for w , which amounts to finding w as the left eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1.

Alternatively, we can find w as the (right) eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue 1 for the transpose of P,

$$
P^T w^T = w^T
$$

Now remember that when you compute an eigenvector, you get a result that is the eigenvector, to a multiple.

So the expression you obtain for w might have to be normalized (you want a probability vector). Once you obtain w, check that the norm $||w||$ defined by

$$
\|w\| = w_1 + \cdots + w_r
$$

is equal to one. If not, use

Back to genetics..

and compute P^2 :

The Markov chain is here regular. Indeed, take the matrix P ,

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
P^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{8} \end{pmatrix}
$$

As all entries are positive, P is primitive and the Markov chain is regular.

Another way to check regularity:

Theorem 10

A matrix M is primitive if the associated connection graph is strongly connected, i.e., that there is a path between any pair (i, j) of states, and that there is at least one positive entry on the diagonal of M.

This is checked directly on the transition graph

Compute the left eigenvector associated to 1 by solving

$$
(w_1, w_2, w_3) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right) = (w_1, w_2, w_3)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}w_1 + \frac{1}{4}w_2 = w_1 \tag{3a}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}w_1 + \frac{1}{2}w_2 + \frac{1}{2}w_3 = w_2 \tag{3b}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{4}w_2+\frac{1}{2}w_3=w_3\hspace{1cm}(3c)
$$

From [\(3a\)](#page-32-0), $w_1 = w_2/2$, and from [\(3c\)](#page-32-1), $w_3 = w_2/2$. Substituting these values into [\(3b\)](#page-32-2),

$$
\frac{1}{4}w_2+\frac{1}{2}w_2+\frac{1}{4}w_2=w_2,
$$

that is, $w_2=w_2$, i.e., w_2 can take any value. So $w=(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ $rac{1}{4}$)

p. 31 [Regular Markov chains](#page-24-0)

[Markov chains](#page-0-0)

[A simple genetic model](#page-7-0)

[Repetition of the process](#page-13-0)

[Regular Markov chains](#page-24-0)

[Absorbing Markov chains](#page-33-0)

[Random walks](#page-43-0)

Mating with a GG individual

Suppose now that we conduct the same experiment, but mate each new generation with a GG individual instead of a Gg individual. Transition table is

The transition probabilities are thus

$$
P = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)
$$

New transition graph

Clearly:

 \blacktriangleright we leave gg after one iteration, and can never return,

 $P. 34 - \frac{1}{2}$ bs so bing Markov chains leave $G\sigma$, we can never return

Absorbing states, absorbing chains

Definition 11

A state S_i in a Markov chain is *absorbing* if whenever it occurs on the n^{th} generation of the experiment, it then occurs on every subsequent step. In other words, \mathcal{S}_i is absorbing if $p_{ii} = 1$ and $p_{ii} = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Definition 12

A Markov chain is said to be absorbing if it has at least one absorbing state, and if from every state it is possible to go to an absorbing state.

In an absorbing Markov chain, a state that is not absorbing is called *transient*.

Some questions on absorbing chains

Suppose we have a chain like the following:

- 1. Does the process eventually reach an absorbing state?
- 2. Average number of times spent in a transient state, if starting in a transient state?
- 3. Average number of steps before entering an absorbing state?
- 4. Probability of being absorbed by a given absorbing state, when there are more than one, when starting in a given transient state?

Reaching an absorbing state

Answer to question 1:

Theorem 13

In an absorbing Markov chain, the probability of reaching an absorbing state is 1.

Standard form of the transition matrix

For an absorbing chain with k absorbing states and $r - k$ transient states, the transition matrix can be written as

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_k & 0 \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix}
$$

with following meaning,

with \mathbb{I}_k the $k \times k$ identity matrix, 0 an $k \times (r - k)$ matrix of zeros, R an $(r - k) \times k$ matrix and Q an $(r - k) \times (r - k)$ matrix.

The matrix $\mathbb{I}_{r-k} - Q$ is invertible. Let

- \blacktriangleright $N = (\mathbb{I}_{r-k} Q)^{-1}$ be the *fundamental matrix* of the Markov chain
- \blacktriangleright T_i be the sum of the entries on row *i* of N
- \blacktriangleright $B = NR$.

Answers to our remaining questions:

- 2. N_{ii} is the average number of times the process is in the *j*th transient state if it starts in the ith transient state.
- 3. τ_i is the average number of steps before the process enters an absorbing state if it starts in the ith transient state.
- 4. B_{ii} is the probability of eventually entering the *j*th absorbing state if the process starts in the ith transient state.

Back to genetics..

The matrix is already in standard form,

$$
P = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbb{I}_1 & 0 \\ R & Q \end{array}\right)
$$

with $\mathbb{I}_1 = 1$, $0 = (0, 0)$ and

$$
R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

We have

$$
\mathbb{I}_2 - Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
N = (\mathbb{I}_2 - Q)^{-1} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Then

so

 $T = NI = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ 3 \setminus

$$
B=NR=\begin{pmatrix}2&0\\2&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\0\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}
$$

p. 41 [Absorbing Markov chains](#page-33-0)

The drunk man's walk, 1.0

- \blacktriangleright chain of states S_1, \ldots, S_p
- ► if in state S_i , $i = 2, ..., p 1$, probability 1/2 of going left (to S_{i-1}) and 1/2 of going right (to S_{i+1})
- \blacktriangleright if in state S_1 , probability 1 of going to S_2
- ▶ if in state S_p , probability 1 of going to S_{p-1}

The transition matrix for DMW 1.0

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ & & & & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Clearly a primitive matrix, so this is an regular Markov chain.

We need to solve $w^T P = w^T$, that is,

$$
\frac{1}{2}w_2 = w_1
$$

\n
$$
w_1 + \frac{1}{2}w_3 = w_2
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}w_2 + \frac{1}{2}w_4 = w_3
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}w_3 + \frac{1}{2}w_5 = w_4
$$

. . .

$$
\frac{1}{2}w_{p-3} + \frac{1}{2}w_{p-1} = w_{p-2}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}w_{p-2} + w_p = w_{p-1}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}w_{p-1} = w_p
$$

p. 44 - [Random walks](#page-43-0)

Express everything in terms of w_1 :

$$
w_2 = 2w_1
$$

\n
$$
w_1 + \frac{1}{2}w_3 = w_2 \Leftrightarrow w_3 = 2(w_2 - w_1) = 2w_1
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}w_2 + \frac{1}{2}w_4 = w_3 \Leftrightarrow w_4 = 2(w_3 - \frac{1}{2}w_2) = 2(w_3 - w_1) = 2w_1
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}w_3 + \frac{1}{2}w_5 = w_4 \Leftrightarrow w_5 = 2(w_4 - \frac{1}{2}w_3) = 2(w_4 - w_1) = 2w_1
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}w_{p-3} + \frac{1}{2}w_{p-1} = w_{p-2} \Leftrightarrow w_{p-1} = 2w_1
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}w_{p-2} + w_p = w_{p-1} \Leftrightarrow w_p = w_{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}w_{p-2} = w_1
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}w_{p-1} = w_p \qquad \text{(confirms that } w_p = w_1\text{)}
$$

. . .

p. 45 [Random walks](#page-43-0)

So we get

$$
w^T = (w_1, 2w_1, \ldots, 2w_1, w_1)
$$

We have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} w_i = w_1 + \left(\sum_{i=2}^{p-1} 2w_1\right) + w_1
$$

= $2w_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} 2w_1$
= $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} 2w_1$
= $2w_1 \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} 1$
= $2w_1 (p - 1)$

p. 46 [Random walks](#page-43-0)

Since

$$
\sum_{i=1}^p w_i = 2w_1(p-1)
$$

to get a probability vector, we need to take

$$
\mathsf{w}_1=\frac{1}{2(\rho-1)}
$$

So

$$
w^{\mathcal{T}} = \left(\frac{1}{2(\rho-1)}, \frac{1}{\rho-1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\rho-1}, \frac{1}{2(\rho-1)}\right)
$$

Now assume we take an initial condition with $p(0) = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, i.e., the walker starts in state 1. Then

$$
\lim_{t\to\infty}p(t)=p(0)W=p(0)w=p(0)\cdot w^T
$$

so

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho(t) = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2(\rho - 1)}, \frac{1}{\rho - 1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\rho - 1}, \frac{1}{2(\rho - 1)} \right)
$$

The drunk man's walk, 2.0

- \blacktriangleright chain of states S_1, \ldots, S_n
- ► if in state S_i , $i = 2, ..., p 1$, probability 1/2 of going left (to S_{i-1}) and 1/2 of going right (to S_{i+1})
- \triangleright if in state S_1 , probability 1 of going to S_1
- if in state S_p , probability 1 of going to S_p

The transition matrix for DMW 2.0

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & 1/2 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Put P in standard form

Absorbing states are S_1 and S_p , write them first, then write other states.

So we find

$$
P = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ R & Q \end{pmatrix}
$$

where 0 a 2 \times (p − 2)-matrix, R a (p − 2) \times 2 matrix and Q a (p − 2) \times (p − 2) matrix

$$
R = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

and

$$
Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 0 & & & \\ 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & & & \\ 0 & 1/2 & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & 1/2 \\ 0 & & & & & & 1/2 \\ 0 & & & & & & 1/2 \\ \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\mathbb{I}_{p-2} - Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 & -1/2 \\ 0 & -1/2 & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & -1/2 & 1 \\ 0 & & & & & -1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

This is a tridiagonal symmetric Toeplitz matrix

Inverting a symmetric tridiagonal matrix

We want to use the following result (found for example in some slides of Gérard Meurant about Tridiagonal matrices): if

$$
J_k = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \beta_{k-2} & \alpha_{k-1} & \beta_{k-1} \\ & & & & \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_k \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\delta_1 = \alpha_1, \quad \delta_j = \alpha_j - \frac{\beta_{j-1}^2}{\delta_{j-1}}, j = 2, \dots, k
$$

$$
d_k^{(k)} = \alpha_k, \quad d_j^{(k)} = \alpha_j - \frac{\beta_j^2}{d_{j+1}^{(k)}}, j = k - 1, \dots, 1
$$

then we have the result on the next slide

p. 54 [Random walks](#page-43-0)

Inverse of a symmetric tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix

Theorem 14

The inverse of the symmetric tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix J_k is given by

$$
(J_k^{-1})_{ij} = (-1)^{j-i} \beta_i \cdots \beta_{j-1} \frac{d_{j+1}^{(k)} \cdots d_k^{(k)}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}, \ \forall i, \forall j > i
$$

$$
(J_k^{-1})_{ii} = \frac{d_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots d_k^{(k)}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}, \ \forall i
$$

Note that $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_k = 1$ and $\beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_{k-1} = -1/2$. Write $\alpha := \alpha_i = 1$ and $\beta := \beta_i = -1/2$. We have $\delta_1 = \alpha = 1$, and the general term takes the form

$$
\delta_j = \alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{\delta_{j-1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{4\delta_{j-1}}, \quad j = 2, ..., k
$$

$$
\delta_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}
$$

$$
\delta_3 = 1 - \frac{1}{4\frac{3}{4}} = \frac{2}{3}
$$

$$
\delta_4 = 1 - \frac{1}{4\frac{2}{3}} = 1 - \frac{3}{8} = \frac{5}{8}
$$

$$
\delta_5 = 1 - \frac{1}{4\frac{5}{8}} = 1 - \frac{2}{5} = \frac{3}{5}
$$

$$
\delta_6 = 1 - \frac{1}{4\frac{3}{5}} = 1 - \frac{5}{12} = \frac{7}{12}
$$

$$
\delta_7 = 1 - \frac{1}{4\frac{7}{12}} = 1 - \frac{3}{7} = \frac{4}{7}
$$

p. 56 [Random walks](#page-43-0)

Taking a look at the few terms in the sequence, we get the feeling that

$$
\delta_{2n} = \frac{2n+1}{4n}
$$
 and $\delta_{2n+1} = \frac{n+1}{2n+1}$

A little induction should confirm this. Induction hypothesis (changing indices for odd δ):

$$
\mathcal{P}_n : \begin{cases} \delta_{2n-1} &= \frac{n}{2n-1} \\ \delta_{2n} &= \frac{2n+1}{4n} \end{cases}
$$

 \mathcal{P}_1 is true. Assume \mathcal{P}_j . Then

$$
\delta_{2j+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{4\delta_{2j}} = 1 - \frac{1}{4\frac{2j+1}{4j}} = 1 - \frac{j}{2j+1} = \frac{j+1}{2j+1}
$$

$$
\delta_{2j+2} = 1 - \frac{1}{4\delta_{2j+1}} = 1 - \frac{1}{4\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} = 1 - \frac{2j+1}{4(j+1)} = \frac{2(j+1)+1}{4(j+1)}
$$

So P_{i+1} holds true

p. 57 [Random walks](#page-43-0)

In fact, we can go further, by expressing

$$
\delta_{2n} = \frac{2n+1}{4n}
$$
 and $\delta_{2n+1} = \frac{n+1}{2n+1}$

in terms of odd and even j . If j is even,

$$
\delta_j=\frac{j+1}{2j}
$$

while if j is odd,

$$
\delta_j = \frac{(j+1)/2}{j}
$$

But the latter gives

$$
\delta_j=\frac{j+1}{2j}
$$

so this formula holds for all *i's*

p. 58 [Random walks](#page-43-0)

For the $d_i^{(k)}$ $j^{(k)}_j$'s, we have $d_k^{(k)}=1$ and $d_j^{(k)} = 1 - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{k}}$ $4d_{j+1}^{(k)}$ C

So
$$
d_k^{(k)} = \delta_1
$$
 and
 $d_{k-j+1}^{(k)} = \delta_j = \frac{j+1}{2j}, \quad j = 2, ..., k$

The form

$$
d_j^{(k)}=\delta_{k-j+1}
$$

will also be useful. In summary,

In J^{-1} , the following terms appear

$$
\frac{d_{j+1}^{(k)} \cdots d_k^{(k)}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}, \ \forall i, \forall j > i
$$

and

$$
\frac{d_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots d_k^{(k)}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}, \ \forall i
$$

We have, ∀i,

$$
\frac{d_{i+1}^{(k)} \cdots d_k^{(k)}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k} = \frac{\delta_{k-(i+1)+1} \cdots \delta_{k-k+1}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}
$$

$$
= \frac{\delta_{k-i} \cdots \delta_1}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}
$$

$$
= \frac{\delta_1 \cdots \delta_{k-i}}{\delta_i \cdots \delta_k}
$$

$$
= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-i} \frac{j+1}{2j}}{\prod_{j=i}^{k} \frac{j+1}{2j}}
$$

$$
= \prod_{j=1}^{k-i} \frac{j+1}{2j} \prod_{j=j}^{k} \frac{2j}{j+1}
$$